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Abstract Meromictic Lake Kivu is renowned for its enormous quantity of methane dissolved in the hypolimnion. The methane is primarily of biological origin, and its concentration has been increasing in the past half-century. Insight into the origin of methane production in Lake Kivu has become relevant with the recent commercial extraction of methane from the hypolimnion. This study provides the first culture-independent approach to identifying the archaeal communities present in Lake Kivu sediments at the sediment-water interface. Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis suggests considerable heterogeneity in the archaeal community composition at varying sample locations. This diversity reflects changes in the geochemical conditions in the sediment and the overlying water, which are an effect of local groundwater inflows. A more in-depth look at the archaeal community composition by clone library analysis revealed diverse phylogenies of Euryarchaeota and Crenarchaeota. Many of the sequences in the clone libraries belonged to globally distributed archaeal clades such as the rice cluster V and Lake Dagow sediment environmental clusters. Several of the determined clades were previously thought to be rare among freshwater sediment Archaea (e.g., sequences related to the SAGMEG-1 clade). Surprisingly, there was no observed relation of clones to known hydrogenotrophic methanogens and less than 2 % of clones were related to acetoclastic methanogens. The local variability, diversity, and novelty of the archaeal community structure in Lake Kivu should be considered when making assumptions on the biogeochemical functioning of its sediments.

Introduction

Meromictic Lake Kivu in East Central Africa is well known as a huge reservoir of dissolved methane (CH4) and carbon dioxide (CO2). The enormous quantities of gases trapped in the stratified deep water make Lake Kivu the largest of the “exploding lakes”, which further include the two Cameroonian crater lakes Lake Nyos [1] and Lake Monoun [2]. Lake Kivu is an African Rift lake surrounded by the active Virunga volcanoes. It is fed by precipitation and a large number of small streams but has no major river inflow. In addition, several sources of groundwater enter in the lake at various depths, which explains the strong gradients observed in the vertical profiles of salinity, temperature, and dissolved gasses [3]. Hydrothermal groundwater sources supply heat and salt to the bottom of the lake [4, 5] while colder, less saline groundwater enters the lake at shallower depths. The conjunction of the groundwater sources result in a highly stable stratification of the water column. However, the details of how the groundwater inflows affect the unique geochemical and physical properties of Lake Kivu is not yet well understood and is currently being investigated.

The dissolved CH4 in Lake Kivu amounts to a volume of approximately 60 km3 (at 0°C and 1 atm) [3]. CH4 is drawing global attention as a significant greenhouse gas, which accounts for 14 % of the anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and 18 % of the increase in radiative forcing effect [6]. Lakes and reservoirs have been identified as
major contributors to the global CH4 budget. A recent estimate for CH4 emission from freshwater sources of 103 Tg of CH4 year\(^{-1}\) is higher than for oceanic CH4 [7–9]. Due to the risk of catastrophic outgassing, the accumulated CH4 in Lake Kivu has to be considered as a threat to the population living in and around the catchment. However, the CH4 also promises to be a valuable energy resource for both riparian countries. Small-scale CH4 extraction plants are currently in operation in Lake Kivu and implementation of large-scale CH4 exploitation is under way [10].

The CO2 accumulated in Lake Kivu is of geogenic origin, as is evident from the \(^{14}\)C signature of CO2 [11]. In contrast, the CH4 is thought to be primarily the result of biological methanogenesis [11–13], although an additional influx of geogenic CH4 has not been ruled out. Significant information on geological, physical, and chemical processes in Lake Kivu has accumulated from intensive research performed over the past few decades [14–17]. Recently, evidence for a contemporary increase in CH4 concentrations has been presented [3, 11] and linked to changes in the lake’s food web, hydrological conditions, and nutrient input [11, 15]. This has considerable implications both for the assessment of the risks of violent outgassing, as well as for the planned CH4 exploitation for energy production. The currently observed increase in CH4 clearly indicates the importance of understanding the processes of methanogenesis in Lake Kivu.

Methanogenesis has been found to be exclusively performed by Archaea. However, Archaea are now known to be involved in various other metabolic pathways, including sulfate reduction [18] and ammonia-oxidation [19]. Lake sediments are generally anoxic below a zone of steep gradients of organic substrates and electron acceptors frequently extending not more than a few millimeters below the sediment-water interface. Methanogenesis is the most important terminal electron acceptor process in the mineralization of organic compounds when oxygen, nitrate, metal oxides, and sulfate have been depleted as electron acceptors [20, 21]. Below 90 m depth, the water column of Lake Kivu is devoid of these external electron acceptors [11]. Therefore, the microbial community in its sediment is expected to be dominated by a consortium of fermentative microorganisms, methanogenic Archaea, and possibly chemolithotrophic acetogens. For sediments below 90 m depth, we would therefore expect limited spatial variability in the microbial community composition, as the water column of the lake is also horizontally homogenous [11]. However, in the areas where sediments are in direct contact with groundwater inflows, local conditions and the resulting microbial communities are expected to diverge from this homogeneity. Additionally, there are pronounced gradients in chemical and physical properties in the water column, e.g., temperature, salinity, alkalinity, and CH4 concentrations, which could potentially affect microbial populations in sediments at different depths.

With the development of modern molecular methods, members of the domain Archaea have been shown to be an ubiquitous and diverse component of the microbial communities in marine sediment and water [22–25]. In comparison, much less is known about the community structure and diversity of Archaea in freshwater sediments, especially in deep lakes. Two recent studies have characterized the microbial population of Lake Kivu waters using modern culture-independent molecular methods [11, 26]. Those studies were focused specifically on CH4 oxidizers and methanogens in the entire water column [11] and on non-methanogenic Archaea down to 100 m water depth [26], respectively. To date, the diversity and community structure of Archaea in the lake sediment remains unexplored, with exception of a culture-based study that succeeded in cultivating Methanosarcina-like organisms [12, 27].

In the present study, we describe the archaeal community composition in Lake Kivu sediment (KS) and investigate their spatial variability in relation to the influence of the local geochemistry and sedimentology of the lake. We also expected to identify rRNA gene sequences of methanogenic Archaea to provide the first culture-independent identification of the methanogens in the lake sediment. We analyzed 13 surface sediment samples from different water depths and various locations in the northern basin of Lake Kivu and obtained extensive information on the community structure and diversity of Archaea using terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis and sequencing of clone libraries.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling Sites and Sample Collection**

Lake Kivu has a maximum depth of 485 m. The study was carried out in the northern basin of the lake (Fig. 1). The lake is located in the East African Rift near the active volcanoes Niyamulagira and Nyiragongo. About 1.3 km\(^{3}\) year\(^{-1}\) of water enter the lake as sub-aquatic inflows below its mixolimnion, which explains the strong gradients observed in vertical profiles of temperature, salinity and dissolved gasses [3]. The groundwater inflows are crucial for the establishment of a stable stratification. Therefore, the sampling sites were chosen to encompass areas locally influenced by known groundwater inflows, as well as sites representing general basin conditions at a range of depths above and below the main chemocline.

We analyzed 13 surface sediment samples from cores collected at different water depths (Fig. 1). KS cores KS5, KS20, and KS21 were collected in the deepest part of the basin. Core KS4 was taken further to the north, from a location on a ridge above the main chemocline. Cores
KS9, KS12, KS14, and KS15 were obtained from various water depths along a transect offshore of the city of Gisenyi. KS7 and KS8 were collected in the vicinity of relatively cool and fresh groundwater inflows detected near Goma. KS17, KS18, and KS19 were collected from the northwestern area, which is influenced by hydrothermal inflows. Among these, KS17 and KS18 were located directly beneath warm, saline water filling a local depression and a channel, respectively.

Sediment cores were retrieved with a gravity short-corer. Due to the high concentration of gas in the lake below 200 m depth, sediment cores from these water depths degassed strongly for several minutes, consequently disturbing the original sediment structure. Core KS17 from the hydrothermal area (145 m water depth) also degassed. The other cores taken from less than 200 m water depth (KS4, KS8, and KS9) remained undisturbed. Disturbed cores were left to settle overnight. Sediment (10–20 g) samples were obtained using sterile technique from the top (upper 5-cm section) of the sediment core. The samples were collected in sterilized Falcon tubes and preserved immediately with ∼20 mL of 70 % ethanol.

Water samples for chemical analysis were collected close to the coring locations KS17 and KS18 (hydrothermal area) and KS8 and KS7 (cold groundwater area), and near coring location KS19 with a 5-liter Niskin bottle as described by Pasche et al. [16]. For depths below 200 m, the open valve at the top of the Niskin bottle was capped with a balloon to prevent sample loss from vigorous outgassing during ascent. Subsamples of each water sample was filtered through 0.45-μm pore-size polycarbonate filters (Whatman, Bottmingen, Switzerland) using a sterile 50-mL syringe at the time of sampling. A subsample (10 mL) of the filtered water was acidified with 200 μL of supra-pure concentrated HNO₃ for inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis. An additional subsample of filtered water was also used for alkalinity titration.

Sediment Characterization

Color and texture of the surface sediment were noted on the basis of visual inspection during sampling. Smear slides were prepared and observed under a light microscope under cross- and plane-polarized light to identify minerals and diatoms. Images obtained at ×40 magnification were analyzed and compared among the different sediment samples. The abundance of diatoms, carbonates, and clastic silicates (identified by shape, color, and appearance in polarized light) were estimated from the images.

Total carbon (TC), total nitrogen, and total sulfur (TS) were measured by combustion at 1,000°C in a CNS elemental analyzer (EuroEA 3000, Eurovector, Milano, Italy) as described previously [15]. Total inorganic carbon (TIC) was measured as CO₂ by coulometry [15]. Total organic carbon (TOC) was calculated as the difference of TC and TIC. In order to derive estimates of sediment composition, organic matter (OM) was estimated from TC by multiplication with 2 [28] and carbonate was estimated from TIC by multiplying with 8.3 (assuming that all inorganic carbon is bound as calcium carbonate; molecular weight ratio C/CaCO₃=8.3).

Chemical Analysis of Water

Vertical profiles of water temperature, conductivity, and pH were recorded in situ with a Seabird SBE-19 conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) probe (Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc., Bellevue, WA). The analysis was performed following the previously described method [16]. Standard methods [29] were applied for colorimetric analyses of SO₄²⁻ and S(−II) with a portable photometer (Merck Nova 60, VWR). Dissolved iron was measured with ICP-MS and ICP-OES. Alkalinity was titrated using a 716 DMS Titrino (Metrohm, Herisau, Schweiz) and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ HCl.

Molecular Analysis

DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification

Ethanol was separated from preserved sediment by centrifugation (10 min at 8,000×g). DNA was extracted from the sediment sample by bead beating for 45 s at speed 5.5 ms⁻¹,
a mechanical method of cell lysis. The bead mix contained 0.5 g of sediments, 0.1 g of 106 μm beads, and 0.1 g of 150–212 μm beads (both Sigma, Buchs, Switzerland) in 1.5 mL of GOS extraction buffer [30]. The DNA were separated with phenol/chloroform and precipitated with ethanol. Then, the DNA was resuspended in sterile TE buffer and stored at −20°C.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of archaeal 16S rRNA genes was based on an optimization of the protocol described earlier [31] using primers Ar109f 5′-AC(GT)GCTCAGTAACACGT-3′ [32] and Ar915r 5′-GTGCTCCCCCGCCAATTCC-3′ [33] specific for the domain Archaea. The reaction mixture (50 μL) contained 1 μL of template DNA (~10 ng), 0.2 μM of each primer, 2 mM magnesium chloride (MgCl₂), 0.5 mM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 1 mg mL⁻¹ of bovine serum albumin (BSA), ×1 PCR buffer (MgCl₂ free), 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase (all Promega, Mannheim, Germany) and nuclease free water (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). PCR amplification was performed on a Touchgene Gradient Thermal Cycler (Techne, Cambridge, UK) with the following temperature program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 52°C for 45 s, and elongation at 72°C for 45 s, and 18 kV injection voltage, 15 kV run voltage, and 60°C run temperature.

The peak area and peak size tables were obtained from the Gene Mapper® Software version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems). The tables were converted to sample area and fragment size tables, then converted to binned operational taxonomic unit (OTU) tables by using a custom R binning script [34]. A window size of 2 bp and shift value of 0.1 bp was selected for the binning by using the window shifting algorithm of the script. On the basis of control samples (T-RFLP profiles from Methanosarcina barkeri (DSM800)) only peaks ranging between 100 and 1,000 bp size and with a relative fluorescence intensity value of ≥0.09 % of the run total were considered for analysis.

Cloning and Sequencing

Based on similarity analysis of T-RFLP profiles (see “Results”), clone libraries were constructed using DNA extracts from six locations (KS7, KS8, KS9, KS17, KS18, and KS20) in the northern basin of Lake Kivu.

The gel bands of expected size (815 bp) were excised under UV light and the PCR amplicons were cleaned using Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up system (Promega). All PCR products were cloned using the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System cloning kit (Promega). Per clone library, two plates using 20 and 100 μl of transformed cell suspension were prepared for blue-white screening according to the manufacturer’s protocol, yielding >60 white colonies. Approximately, 30 clones per clone library were randomly selected and positive transformants were confirmed by screening for correct insert size using the PCR as described above (omitting BSA) followed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Sequencing was performed by Microsynth AG (Balghac, Switzerland).

Sequences were subjected to in-silico T-RFLP analysis using TRiFle [35]. For comparison, measured T-RFLP sizes were corrected using the correction term published by Kaplan and Kitts [36]. OTUs with predicted T-RFLP fragment sizes that were within ±2 bp of the in silico determined fragment size were considered to be matching.
Statistical Analysis

Statistical tests, data calculation, and graphics were produced with R software version 2.12.1 [37]. R packages used for the analysis were vegan [38], and BiodiversityR [39].

The analysis of ecological distance by unconstrained ordination was performed using principal component analysis of the relative T-RFLP peak area matrix as described by Boccardin et al. [40]. The relative peak area data were Hellinger transformed prior to analysis [41].

Phylogenetic Analysis

Sequence reads were manually screened and vector and primers sequences trimmed. We checked for chimeric sequences with the Bellerophon server application at the greengenes.lbl.gov site (http://greengenes.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/nph-bel3_interf ace.cgi) [42], and five chimeric sequences were excluded. The gene sequences were classified using the Classifier tool on the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) release 10 website (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) [43], confirming the archaeal identity of the 16S rRNA gene insert in all the clones analyzed. The final dataset included 167 sequences.

Two independent phylogenetic assessments were performed. In the first approach, reference sequences of cultured Archaea were selected from the best matches available in the RDP database through the SeqMatch tool and imported to MEGA version 5 [44]. Some additional reference sequences of important clades not covered by search results were added manually in the RDP taxonomy browser. The environmental reference sequences were obtained based on the available best matches from BLAST searches of GenBank [45] (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and RDP SeqMatch searches and keyword queries using the Entrez database query tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide). Additional reference sequences affiliated with the environmental archaeal clusters Lake Dagow sediment (LDS) and rice cluster V (RC-V) as published by [46] were obtained from the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) available from the publishers website. All sequences were aligned to the RDP global archaeal alignment using the RDP aligner. A phylogenetic tree was constructed de-novo using the unweighted pair group mean (UPGMA) hierarchical clustering method [47]. The evolutionary distances of the phylogenetic tree were computed by the Kimura two-parameter method [48] as implemented in MEGA 5 [44], using pair wise gap elimination and 500 bootstrap re-samplings for tree testing. Based on this tree, Lake Kivu sequences were grouped, and phylogenetic clades were labeled in accordance with the known phylogeny of reference sequences and based on the results of the ARB tree (see below).

In a second approach sequences were first aligned to the Greengenes rRNA database using NAST (http://greengenes.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/nph-NAST_align.cgi). The aligned sequences were then imported into ARB [49] and inserted into the reference tree supplied with the Greengenes 2011 16S rRNA ARB database (Greengenes_16S_2011_1.arb, 03-May-2011) using the ARB parsimony method. The phylogenetic placement of the sequences relative to the Greengenes/Hugenholtz taxonomy [50] was noted and also used in the annotation of the de novo tree described above.

For comparison with published data, a literature search for studies on archaeal communities in lake sediments was conducted. Studies reporting archaeal clone libraries from lake sediments consisting of more than 20 clones were considered for analysis. Two libraries deposited in GenBank (Lake Honghu and Lake Pavin) but not yet published in print were also included. Sequences were retrieved from GenBank using the BatchEntrez tool with the published accession numbers. Each set of sequences was aligned to the RDP database together with the Kivu clones and reference sequences (the reference dataset). A phylogenetic tree was constructed for each aligned dataset as described above. Based on these trees, the sequences were assigned to the phylogenetic groups that had been established from the phylogenetic analysis of the original reference dataset. The number of sequences assigned to each group were counted. In cases where only representative sequences were submitted to GenBank, we obtained the original dataset [51] or counts were adjusted based on the information given in the publication [52].

Rarefaction curves and library coverage (Good’s coverage, \( C = 1 - \frac{n_1}{N} \), with \( n_1 \) = number of OTUs sampled once, and \( N \) = total number of individuals) were calculated using MOTHUR software [53]. For these calculations, an operational OTU definition using a 10 % similarity cutoff was used.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from this study have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers JN853604–JN853770.

Results

Sediment Characteristics

The surface sediment samples were categorized in four lithological groups (S1–S4) on the basis of color, grain size,
and mineralogical composition (Table 1). The sediments from the transect offshore of Gisenyi (group S1) were distinguished by the dark color, larger proportions of siliciclastics (\(~70\%\)), and low TOC content (3–4 %). All S1 sediments contained circular diatoms inferred to be *Cyclotella* sp. while other samples mostly contained diverse, but dominantly thin, elongated diatoms inferred to be *Nitzschia* sp. \[15\].

Group S2 included the sediment samples originating from the deep parts of the main Kivu basin (KS5, KS20, and KS21). S2 sediments appeared as a shiny brown-colored mud. S2 sediments appeared quite uniform in their chemical and mineralogical properties with abundant diatoms, high contents of TOC (7–8 %), and moderate amounts of carbonates (14–15 %) (Table 1).

Sediments of the S3 group originated from the vicinity of the cold groundwater inflows (KS7 and KS8) and from the basin ridge site (KS4). They were characterized by dark greenish color with white patches, the latter likely consisting of remains of carbonate layers disturbed during sampling. S3 sediments were quite variable in their mineralogical composition (5–48 % carbonate and 6–9 % TOC), but contained a similar assemblage of diatoms as S2. The samples from the cold groundwater area were characterized by a high TS content (\(~7\%\)).

Samples in group S4 originated from the hydrothermal area to the northwest. Similar to S3 samples, they appeared greenish in color with a fluffy and flocculent texture. S4 samples had the highest TS content compared with other sediment groups. Sample KS18 was unique, as it contained only \(~6\%\) carbonates and had an exceptionally high TS content (\(~11\%\)). These sediments mostly contained diverse diatoms similar to group S2; however, KS19 was distinguished by the presence of *Cyclotella* sp.

Water Chemistry

We compared water chemistry data from this sampling campaign with previously published data on the average chemistry of Lake Kivu’s water column \[16\] to demonstrate the local influence of the groundwater inflows. The water mass above coring locations KS17 and KS18 was warmer and more saline than that at the same depth in the main basin (Fig. 2a). Alkalinity and pH also deviated from the main basin with an exceptionally high alkalinity value of 82 mmol L\(^{-1}\) for the KS17 sample, and a substantially lower pH (Fig. 2b). Additionally, about 280 \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\) of dissolved iron was detected in the water sample above KS17 whereas dissolved iron was \(<1\ \mu\)M in most other samples and in the main basin.

The cold spring influence can be seen in the reduced temperature in the water sampled above KS8 and reduced alkalinity in the water sampled above KS8 and KS7 (Fig. 2). We further observed an increased dissolved iron concentration (10 \(\mu\)M) and a high total sulfur content measured above KS7, in excess of the \(\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{S}(\text{II})\) sulfur concentrations at this depth in the main basin.

Archaeal Community Structure

A total of 50 distinct OTUs were detected in the archaeal T-RFLP profiles of Lake Kivu across the 13 analyzed sediment samples. The average number of OTUs observed in an individual T-RFLP profile was 12. The number of OTUs fluctuated considerably among the sediment samples from a minimum of 3 OTUs (sample KS14) to 20 OTUs (sample KS20) (Fig. 3a; also see electropherograms in Fig. S1 in the ESM).

An ordination plot was derived from principal component analysis (PCA) of the OTU abundance dataset, and displayed differences and similarities in the archaeal population structure based on T-RFLP data (Fig. 3b). Most samples with an origin from below the chemocline are located near the bottom of the graph (negative values on the principal component axis 2 (PC2)). Sediment group S2 samples aggregated in the bottom right quadrant of the PCA plot. These samples, as well as KS14 (S1) and KS17 (S4), were characterized by a high abundance of OTU50. KS7 (S3), which like the S2 samples originates from below the main chemocline, is placed in between the other S3 samples from above the chemocline (KS8 and KS4) and the S2 samples. S1 samples (KS9, KS12, and KS15) from the transect offshore Gisenyi were observed to have an archaeal community composition distinctly different from other sediment groups. KS12 is the only sample in this group originating from below the chemocline, but it did not display a distinct archaeal community, although it has the lowest PC2 value. KS14 was distant from the rest of the group along axis1. S4 samples did not cluster together and KS18 and KS17 were distinct from all other sediments. KS18 had a unique OTU composition with OTU17, OTU18, and OTU31 being dominant. OTU17 was common in both KS18 and KS14 samples, while OTU18, and OTU31 were abundant in K18 but rare in all other sediment samples. KS19, which originated below the chemocline, clustered near the shallow S3 samples KS4 and KS8.

Phylogenetic Diversity

Phylogenetic analysis of archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed the presence of phylogenetically diverse Archaea in KS (Fig. 4a). The vast majority of the sequences were not affiliated with any cultured reference strains. Based on this tree, we identified nine major phylogenetic clusters among our clones, which were labeled KS group (KSGR) 1 through 9 in Fig. 4a. Out of these, KSGR-1 (clone frequency 25 %),

\[\text{Springer}\]
Table 1  Morphological, lithological, and chemical characteristics of the sediments cores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Lithologic group</th>
<th>Appearance&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Grain size</th>
<th>Diatoms&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; types</th>
<th>Sediment composition</th>
<th>Elemental composition&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diatoms&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</td>
<td>Carbonate&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS9</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Muddy silt</td>
<td><em>Cyclotella</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS12</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Muddy silt</td>
<td><em>Cyclotella</em></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS14</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Dark green</td>
<td>Muddy silt</td>
<td><em>Cyclotella</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS15</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Muddy silt</td>
<td><em>Cyclotella</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS5</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS20</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Brown and shiny</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS21</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Brown and shiny</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS4</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Green fluff and white patches</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse, <em>Nitzschia</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS7</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Green fluff</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS8</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Green fluff and white patches</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse, <em>Nitzschia</em></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS17</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Green flocculent and white patches</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS18</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Green flocculent</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse+large oval</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS19</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Green flocculent and white patches</td>
<td>Fine mud</td>
<td>Diverse+<em>Cyclotella</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> From visual inspection in the field

<sup>b</sup> Percentage estimated from smear slides

<sup>c</sup> Carbonate. Estimated on the basis of TIC measurement (carbonate % = TIC % × 8.3)

<sup>d</sup> Organic matter. Estimated from TOC measurement (organic matter % = TOC % × 2)

<sup>e</sup> Siliciclastics and other minerals. Percentage estimated by summing other components to 100 % (any deviations are due to rounding) and estimate of silicate minerals from smear slides in parentheses

<sup>f</sup> Percentage (wt./wt.) as determined by elemental analyzer

OM organic matter, TOC total organic carbon, TN total nitrogen, TS total sulfur
KSGR-6 (18 %), KSGR-8 (12 %), and KSGR-9 (23 %) were overall most frequently represented in our six clone libraries (Table 2). These groups, which together contained almost 80 % of the sequences obtained, were associated with diverse environmental sequences affiliated with the phyla Euryarchaeota and Crenarchaeota.

Crenarchaeota

The KSGR-1 cluster was affiliated with the Crenarchaeota and contained three discernible subclusters (Figs. 4a and 5d). KSGR-1c formed a separate branch with uncultured crenarchaeota from a geothermal spring [54]. In the ARB analysis (Fig. 4b) KSGR-1a and 1c clustered together with several subclusters of the C2 cluster of environmental Crenarchaeota. Many of the Kivu sequences in this group were most closely affiliated with uncultured Crenarchaeota sequences derived from phreatic limestone sinkholes in Mexico [55]. KSGR-1b included two sequences related to cluster Sd-NA and sequence KS9-12 that was up to 96 % similar to Candidatus Nitrososphaera sp., which are members of the Thaumarchaeota [19].

Euryarchaeota

KSGR-6 was associated with uncultured groups of the Thermoplasmatales. The most similar sequences came from various lake sediments, specifically from Lake Pavin (France) and Lake Honghu (China) (Fig. 5a). Three subclusters could be distinguished within this group: in the ARB analysis KSGR-6a and -6b clustered separately, but both were included in the pMC2A33 cluster, while 6c clustered with the MOB7-9 group. KSGR-8 and KSGR-9 were associated with clades of uncultured Euryarchaeota known as RC-V (Fig. 5b) and LDS cluster (Fig. 5c), respectively [46].

Clones belonging to the LDS clade were represented in all six clone libraries, although with a variable percentage (Table 2). The RC-V cluster was also common, but absent from the KS18 library (Table 2).

Besides these major groups, five other clusters were also most closely related to environmental clades of the Euryarchaeota. Clusters KSGR-3 (clone frequency 8 %) and KSGR-2 were both closely related to environmental sequences from South African gold mines previously classified as an uncultured group within the Euryarchaeota (SAG-MEG-1), [56]. The majority of the sequences in KSGR-3 originated from the KS18 clone library (Table 2). The expected T-RFLP fragment size (318 or 319 bp) for members of this group relatively closely matches the most abundant TRFLP- OTUs (O17, O18), but did not meet the matching criteria. In KSGR-4, the four clone sequences originating from clone library KS17 and one sequence from KS7 were affiliated with sequences of anaerobic CH₄ oxidizing ANME1 group [57]. Only two sequences, one each from clone library KS20 and KS17, were related to the cultivated sequences of typical acetoclastic methanogens,
i.e., of the Methosarcinales, and no clones with similarity to other groups of known methanogens were obtained. A number of sequences (KS7_12a, KS8_12, KS18_25, KS20_16a, and KS20_11a) were only distantly related to any published archaeal sequences (~70–80% sequence identity with closest match from public databases), but were recognized as archaeal sequences by the RDP classifier. Best BLAST matches (both on the full-length sequence as well as on the 3′ and 5′ ends of the sequences) were other environmental archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences. We did not find evidence for these sequences to be chimeric. In the ARB analysis, these sequences, as well as the KSGR-7 sequences, clustered partially with the LDS and partially with the RC-V cluster, indicating that KSGR-7 probably does not represent a separate phylogenetic entity.

The distribution of the Lake Kivu clone library into the major phylogenetic groups and environmental clusters was compared with 11 published archaeal clone libraries from lake sediments (Table 3). The comparison shows that members of the Methanomicrobiales and Methanosetaeae are common and often dominant constituents of lake sediment archaeal communities that were surprisingly absent or rare in the Lake Kivu library. In fact, KS yielded by far the lowest incidence of known methanogens of any of the analyzed lake sediment archaeal libraries. In turn, several KSGR (KSGR-3, KSGR-1c, and KSGR-6c) are not present in any of the published libraries. In two cases (KSGR-2 and KSGR-4) a single representative was found in the Lake Honghu library only. Other groups, e.g., Crenarchaeota similar to KSGR-1a, and Thermoplasma similar to KSGR-6a, and -6b, were frequently present in the published libraries. The environmental clusters RC-V and LDS (KSGR-8 and -9) were well represented in four of the published libraries but absent or rare in the others.

In silico T-RFLP revealed a considerable diversity of predicted terminal restriction fragment sizes (T-RFs; Table S1 in the ESM in the supplementary material). Matching T-RFLP OTUs could only be assigned in a few cases with confidence.

Discussion

Diversity of Archaea in Lake Kivu sediment

The importance of methanogenic Archaea for production of CH₄ in lacustrine sediments is well recognized [58]. Recently, Archaea, including freshwater Archaea have been
shown to be important also for other biogeochemical pathways in mesophilic environments, e.g., ammonium oxidation and CH$_4$ oxidation [26, 51]. However, the ecological and biogeochemical role of many environmental Archaea remains yet to be determined. The ecological patterns of environmental Archaea have been studied recently [46, 59], but the distribution of archaeal communities and their diversity in lake sediments remains poorly understood and the number of studied lakes is limited. In the context of the deep meromictic Lake Kivu, this is the first comprehensive study on the archaeal communities in the anoxic sediment of the lake using a molecular approach.

The two approaches used for phylogenetic analysis gave largely homologous results and showed that the sediments of Lake Kivu host a phylogenetically highly diverse archaeal assemblage. A similarly high number of phylogenetic clades has not been reported previously in published studies on Archaea in lake sediments, although the currently still unpublished Lake Honghu library may contain even greater phylogenetic diversity (Table 3). The distribution of phylogenetic groups within our libraries varied (Table 2). In contrast to other studies, the observed diversity is therefore at least in part attributable to the beta-diversity within the system. With the exception of the Lake Honghu library, published clone libraries contain relatively low numbers of clones ($n\leq 347$). It is not expected that libraries of this size can exhaustively represent the archaeal diversity in a given system, as the observed coverage frequently shows (Table 3). This was confirmed by the increasing trend of the calculated rarefaction curves (data not shown). This is also true for our combined as well as the libraries of individual samples (Table 2). This suggests that obtaining larger clone libraries from other lake sediments is crucial.

### Table 2 Phylogenetic composition of clone libraries from six surface sediment samples with distinct origin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylogenetic group</th>
<th>No. of sequences in each clone library</th>
<th>Total sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS7</td>
<td>KS8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-1 (unc. Cren-/Thaumarchaeota)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-1a (unc. Crenarchaeota, C2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-1b (unc. Cren- and Thaumarchaeota)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-1c (unc. Crenarchaeota, C2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-2 (unc. Euryarchaeota, SAGMEG-1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-3 (unc. Euryarchaeota, SAGMEG-1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-4 (unc. Euryarchaeota, ANME-1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-5 (unc. Euryarchaeota, DHVE3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-6 (unc. Thermoplasmata)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-6a (unc. Thermoplasma, pMC2A33)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-6b (unc. Thermoplasma, pMC2A33)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-6c (unc. Thermoplasma, MOB7-9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-7 (unc. Euryarchaeota, RCV/LDS)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-8 (unc. Euryarchaeota, R-CV)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSGR-9 (unc. Euryarchaeota, LDS)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanosarcinales</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others/ambiguous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of sequences</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups represented (incl. subgroups)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (OTUs at 10 % distance)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5 Partial trees showing details within collapsed branches in the phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 4. a Group KSGR-6 (uncultured Thermoplasmata). b Group KSGR-8 (RC-V). c Group KSGR-9 (LDS). d Group KSGR-1 (Cren- and Thaumarchaeota).
Figure 5 (continued)
libraries or applying next-generation sequencing methods would further increase the diversity observed in Lake Kivu but also in other environments. Even given the limitations of our methodology, we were able to observe several archaeal clades that have not been previously detected in lake sediments, e.g., the SAGMEG-1 sequences in KSGR-2 and KSGR-3, the crenarchaeal/thaumarchaeal sequences of KSGR-1c, and the Thermoplasma group MOB7-9 of KSGR-6c. Our study therefore significantly expands the known diversity of lake-sediment Archaea.

Environmental Crenarchaeota

It is well established that archaeal communities in freshwater habitats contain members of the Crenarchaeota. In Lake KS, we observed considerable diversity within the uncultured crenarchaeota (KSGR-1). The majority of these sequences were related to the environmental C2 cluster, but other sequences were related to the Sd-NA cluster and one sequence clustered with the genus Candidatus Nitrososphaera. Mesophilic freshwater crenarchaeota were first detected in Lake Michigan sediment [52, 60] and have since been observed in various other lake sediments (see Table 3, group KSGR-1). The phreatic limestone sinkholes that were the origin of many of the best matches to our sequences in this group are freshwater environments characterized by anoxic karstic water with high alkalinity and a tropical temperature regime. [55].

Environmental Euryarchaeota

Acetoclastic methanogens of the order Methanosarcinales (most frequently Methanosetaecae) as well as the generally hydrogenotrophic Methanomicrobiales were typically detected as abundant groups in most previous studies on lake-sediment Archaea (Table 3). This is observed in the studies listed in Table 3 and also in Rotsee, Switzerland [61], and Lake Biwa in Japan [62] and is evident from the meta-analysis by Auguet et al. [59]. In Lake Kivu, external electron acceptors such as metal oxides, nitrate, and sulfate are generally expected to be absent in the deep water and thus also in the sediments. Under these conditions fermentation, methanogenesis, and chemolithotrophic acetogenesis are likely to be the main catabolic pathways throughout the sediment. The majority of CH₄ from Lake Kivu has been demonstrated to be of biological origin, and biochemical evidence indicates that both acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis are important in the lake [11, 15]. While clone libraries are not a quantitative measure of abundance, the lack of methanogens in KS clone libraries was nevertheless unexpected. Coverage
Table 3  Comparison of the combined archaeal clone library of Lake Kivu sediments (this study) with published clone libraries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unc. Crenarchaeota</td>
<td>KSGR-1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Crenarchaeota, C2</td>
<td>KSGR-la</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Cren- and Thaumarchaeota</td>
<td>KSGR-lb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Crenarchaeota, C2</td>
<td>KSGR-le</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/ambiguous Crenarchaeota</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanomicrobiales</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metanohacteriales</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanococcales</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanosarcinales:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanosarcinaceae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanosaetaceae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/ambiguous M.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halobacteriales</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermococcales</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, SAGMEG-1</td>
<td>KSGR-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, SAGMEG-1</td>
<td>KSGR-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, ANME1</td>
<td>KSGR-4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, DHVE3</td>
<td>KSGR-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoplasmata</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Thermoplasmata, pMC2A33</td>
<td>KSGR-6a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Thermoplasmata, pMC2A33</td>
<td>KSGR-6b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Thermoplasmata, MOB7-9</td>
<td>KSGR-6c</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/ambiguous Thermoplasmata</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, LDS and RC-V</td>
<td>KSGR-7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, RC-V</td>
<td>KSGR-8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc. Euryarchaeota, LDS</td>
<td>KSGR-9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/ambiguous</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was generally low for our libraries (Table 2). However, this is also true for several previous studies that found known methanogens, such as the Methanomicrobiales, to be abundant in their clone libraries (Table 3). Comparison of clone sequences with T-RFLP (Table S1, supplementary material) indicate that not all T-RFLP OTUs are represented in the library. A bias of the Archaea-specific primer pair used against the documented methanogenic Archaea is unlikely, as amplification of a wide range of methanogens is generally achieved with this primer set [21, 32, 33, 63], and e.g., Methanosarcina was readily amplified as a positive control by the PCR reaction.

It is also unlikely that we accidentally failed to sample the methanogenic zone due to the sampling method: we sampled surface sediment to a maximum depth of 5 cm, outgassing may have mixed sediment even deeper in some cores. Even in lakes with an oxic water column this sampling depth would usually reach into the methanogenic zone. In Lake Kivu, the water column itself provides conditions suitable for methanogenesis, and therefore the entire sediment is probably methanogenic as well. While methanogenesis may take place in the water column, analysis of sediment trap data indicated that carbon mineralization, and thus methane production in the anoxic water column, was negligible in terms of overall fluxes [11], indicating sediment as the main location for methanogenesis.

A previous study on an enrichment of acetoclastic methanogens from KS reported on Methanosarcina-like organisms [12, 27]. However, this study was based on an enrichment under laboratory conditions and the classification of the organism was apparently primarily based on morphological criteria. Therefore the study provides very little information on the methanogens present in-situ in KS. The apparently low abundance of the typical acetoclastic methanogens (e.g., Methanosaetaceae), and lack of evidence for hydrogenotrophic methanogens raises the question whether some of the diverse environmental clades of Archaea that are abundant in Lake Kivu could be involved in methanogenesis. Possible candidate groups would be KSGR-2, KSGR-3 (SAGMEG-1), and KSGR-4 (ANME1), which cluster among the established methanogenic archaeal lineages. The Marine Sediment Bacteria group 1 (MSBL 1, not shown in our figures), which is distantly related to the SAGMEG-1 group (KSGR-2 and KSGR-3), has previously been indicated as methanogenic [59]. Additional research will be required to test this hypothesis.

Euryarchaeotal sequences related to anaerobic CH$_4$ oxidizers (ANME1) were detected primarily in the clone library of the hydrothermal site KS17. Our data indicate that the hydrothermal inflow is fully reduced and can probably not support microbial consortia performing anaerobic CH$_4$ oxidation in this area. Pasche et al. reported mcrA sequences related to the ANME1 cluster to be abundant in the deep
anoxic water column of Lake Kivu in the absence of electron acceptors [11]. They therefore postulated that these sequences may represent methanogenic relatives of the ANME1 CH4 oxidizers. A recent study by Lloyd et al. also indicated that ANMEl-like Archaea are probably capable of methanogenesis [64]. Further studies are needed to determine if these microorganisms act as methanogens, anaerobic methane oxidizers, or both. Alternatively an increased sequencing effort may yet demonstrate the presence of known hydrogenotrophic methanogens, in which case their actual abundance should be determined.

The clones affiliated with the environmental LDS clusters were ubiquitous in KS. LDS cluster sequences were originally retrieved from the sediments of Lake Dagow, a temperate lake [65] but the group was also predominant in arctic coastal waters including the Mackenzie River, Mackenzie Lake, a Stamukhi Lake [66, 67] and several other lake sediments (Table 3; Lake Stechlin and Beaulieu Estuary Lake). Among all the observed clusters, the LDS group was distinguished by a particularly diverse phylogenetic composition and long-branch lengths in our phylogenetic analysis. The LDS group is generally assigned to the Euryarchaeota [46], but appears to represent a very distinct cluster within the Archaea.

Another major cluster (RC-V) of environmental sequences was originally retrieved from rice-field soil [32]. But like the LDS group, this clade has been shown to be frequently present with high diversity in freshwater habitats [46]. Both groups have been shown to have remarkable diversity and have been found in a great variety of environmental habitats. Additionally, both groups probably include lineages with specific adaptations to freshwater habitats [46]. Due to lack of cultivated representatives, it is currently difficult to link the LDS and RC-V clusters with their ecological role and we do not know their metabolic capacities. However, their occurrence in anoxic sediments, particle-rich riverine water, and soil probably indicate a linkage to organic carbon decomposition [67].

Diversity and controls of archaeal community structure in Lake Kivu sediment

The high phylogenetic diversity and different population structures of Archaea found in KS may be related to the environmental constraints imposed by the pronounced physico-chemical gradients in the water column. It may also in part reflect the locally different geochemical conditions in areas affected by groundwater inflows entering the lake at different depths. A similar influence was proposed by Zemskaya and coworkers [68] to explain the high diversity of Archaea in sediments from a mud volcano in southern Lake Baikal.

T-RFLP analysis of the archaeal community structure of the surface sediment samples revealed the heterogeneity of the archaeal community structure between sampling locations in greater detail, as depicted in the PCA plot (Fig. 3b). However, a large number of samples were aggregated at the bottom right quadrant of the PCA plot. The similarity in the community composition of samples KS21, KS20, and KS5 might be explained by the uniform characteristics of sediments in group S2, and the increased salinity and gas concentrations in the deep water below the chemocline (Table 1; Fig. 2a). A distinct bacterial community and distinct mcrA phylogenotypes were observed in the water below the main chemocline by Pasche et al. [11]. Furthermore, sediment cores from the transect offshore of Gisenyi clustered separately in the top left quadrant of the PCA plot. This group of samples (K12, K15, and K9) belong to sediment group S1, which is distinguished from other samples by very low amounts of TIC and TOC (Table 1), probably indicating a local source of detrital siliciclastic material.

The distinct archaeal community structure observed within sediments of group S4 is thought to reflect the strong biogeochemical gradients in the area due to the inflow of warm, saline water supplying inorganic electron donors. KS17 appeared as a geochemically unique location with high alkalinity, sulfide and dissolved iron in the water above the sediment, as well as rich in TOC and TS (Table 1). Similarly, the surface sediment KS18 was distinguished by exceptionally high TS content, possibly indicating precipitation of sulfur-iron minerals. In contrast, the KS19 site is outside of the direct influence of the hydrothermal water, and its archaeal composition was consequently more similar to that of other sediments.

The sediment samples KS7 (297 m depth) and KS8 (157 m) taken from the vicinity of cold groundwater inflows had a high richness in T-RFLP OTUs. The extent of groundwater discharge in this area has yet to be determined. Water from the area is assumed to contain elevated sulfate concentrations and sediment has a larger proportion of TOC and TS compared with other areas not excepted to be influenced by groundwater. A ridge structure is visible in the high resolution bathymetry of this site that may indicate local mineral deposits (unpublished data). At KS8, precipitation of minerals from the inflow may change the local conditions in the sediment. We speculate that the more diverse archaeal communities observed here are an effect of cold groundwater flowing through or above the sediment which would supply electron donors and create gradients within the sediment. In agreement with this hypothesis, phylogenetic analysis of the clone library KS7 showed indications of diverse physiological abilities, e.g., in the presence of potentially CH4 oxidizing ANME1-like Archaea and methanogenic Methanosarcinales.

In-silico restriction fragment analysis of our clone library sequences confirmed a high diversity of T-RF sizes, but
yielded only few clones that could be confidently matched with T-RFLP OTU's (Table S1 in the ESM). For KS18 the abundant T-RF peaks O18 and O19 could be manually assigned to clones in group KSGR-3 (SAGMEG-1) with some confidence, however the predicted T-RF sizes were about 5 bp different. This may indicate that the applied correction to apparent T-RF sizes [36] was not sufficient for our data. Taking this into account, the general lack of overlap between the two approaches is further evidence that the clone libraries were not exhaustive of the true diversity. Obtaining a better match between community structure and phylogenetic identity would certainly improve our understanding of the ecology of Archaea in Lake Kivu and could be achieved using new high throughput sequencing approaches.

Lake Kivu, a lake with one of the highest methane production rates on record, was shown to contain archaeal assemblages that differ from the typical archaeal community composition of freshwater lake sediments and were dominated by currently uncultured archaeal clades. The ecology of these organisms deserves further study. The sediments in the northern basin of Lake Kivu appeared as a diverse archaeal habitat structured by the presence of cool/fresh and warm/saline groundwater inflows and lake-wide depth-related gradients. These results imply that local variations in geochemistry should be taken into account to properly understand the relevant microbial processes in this habitat and their influence on the biogeochemistry of Lake Kivu.
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