Geotechnical in situ characterization of subaqueat slopes: The role of pore pressure transients versus frictional strength in landslide initiation
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[1] Mineralogical composition and pore fluid pressure are the crucial controls for mechanical stability of water-saturated sediments. Their in situ measurements were undertaken in earthquake-triggered slope deposits in Lake Lucerne (Switzerland) in addition to geophysical characterization and laboratory index properties, shear and consolidation experiments on core. Two lithological units were identified: A weak, lightly underconsolidated section of postglacial silty clays overlies overconsolidated fine-grained glacial deposits with coarser components and excess fluid pressure (ca. 2.5× higher than in the hanging wall clay). In the event of an earthquake, hydrofracturing in the overconsolidated section facilitates an upward pore pressure pulse to the base of the softer, less stable unit. Here, excess pore pressure initiates sliding along a failure plane at the lithological boundary, causing the entire postglacial sediments section to slip downslope. We propose that many submarine landslides at active and passive continental margins may follow this mechanism of pore pressure-induced failure. Citation: Stegmann, S., M. Strasser, F. Anselmetti, and A. Kopf (2007), Geotechnical in situ characterization of subaqueat slopes: The role of pore pressure transients versus frictional strength in landslide initiation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L07607, doi:10.1029/2006GL029122.

1. Introduction

[2] Measurement of pore fluid pressure as a crucial factor controlling effective strength and mechanical behavior of saturated sediments is a difficult task, because the measurement itself disturbs the hydrologic regime [Schultheiss, 1990; Lee and Elsworth, 2004]. Otherwise, pore pressure is a fundamental parameter for the understanding of the stability of (saturated) sediments and hazards. The stability of sediment is controlled by shear strength τ at a given normal stress σn, where friction coefficient μ is the ratio between the two and pore pressure P lowers effective normal stress (τ = [σn – P]μ) [Hubbert and Rubey, 1959]. Extensive research concerning the stability of marine sediments has been carried out [e.g., Biscontin et al., 2004; Sultan et al., 2004]. Much of the geotechnical data on landslide sediments were derived in laboratory experiments [e.g., Ilstad et al., 2004], and rather little is known about in situ sediment strength and pore pressure [Baltzer et al., 1994]. Despite the difficulty of in situ pore pressure measurements in marine sediments, however, seagoing piezoprobes measuring pore pressure [e.g., Davis et al., 1991] and additionally sediment strength [Stegmann et al., 2006] have been developed recently. This paper presents results from the study of earthquake-induced subaqueat slope failure in Lake Lucerne (Switzerland) using in situ vane shear and Penetrate Testing (CPT) devices to obtain the key geotechnical parameters. These data help distinguish between the role of pore pressure vs. mineralogically controlled strength and their ramifications for landslide initiation. Furthermore the in situ data complement an extensive geophysical and sedimentary data base [Strasser et al., 2007] and are used for comparison with laboratory-derived pre-consolidation stresses. With the unique, comprehensive set of largely in situ data, we are able to unambiguously distinguish the relative role of fluids vs. sedimentary constituents in failure of slope sediments.

2. Geological Setting

[3] This study focuses on the biggest subaqueat mass movement (Weggis Slide) of perialpine, glacially-overdeepened Lake Lucerne, central Switzerland (Figure 1). A detailed description of the slope and sediment characteristics is given by Schnellmann et al. [2005] and Strasser et al. [2007]. In summary, the translational tsunami-migenic landslide mobilized ~8.5 × 106 m3 of sediment and was triggered by a M~6.2 earthquake that hit the Lucerne area in 1601 A.D. The intact sediment succession covering the ~10–15° dipping glacially eroded bedrock adjacent to the prominent 1601 A.D.-failure scar consists at the base of thin (1–3 m thick) glacially deformed, glacio-lacustrine sediments (in this paper assigned to glacial deposits, unit 2), that are overlain by 4–6 m of Late Pleistocene to Holocene fine-grained lacustrine drape deposits (here assigned to postglacial deposits, unit 1). The failure surface of the Weggis Slide, as revealed by high-resolution 3.5 kHz acoustic seismic profiles (Figure 1) and sediment cores coincides with the lithological boundary between the glacial and postglacial deposits.

3. Methods

[4] From a moored platform, an in situ vane shear probe (Genor A/S) and a free-fall cone penetrometer [Stegmann et al., 2006] were deployed. Both devices sank by their own weight into the soft, clay-rich sediment adjacent to the failure scar (Figure 1). Local water depth was 31.5 m (hydrostatic pressure [P_hydro] ~309 kPa). In situ vane shear tests were performed at 30- and 50-cm intervals using a...
6.5 cm diameter vane. Penetration depth of the probe was taken from the wire length. At each target depth the probe was extruded carefully from the casing into the undisturbed sediment and rotated mechanically from the platform at a constant rate of 6°/min until failure occurred. A 15 cm² CPT probe mounted to a lance-shaped autonomous instrument was deployed in the same stepwise-fashion. During insertion of the penetrometer, cone resistance $q_c$, sleeve friction $f_s$ and pore pressure $u_2$ were measured to determine the stiffness and the excess pore pressure of the sediment. The lance was held at each penetration level for 10 minutes to observe the dissipation of the induced pore pressure signal towards ambient in situ values. Additionally, CPT data were used to estimate undrained shear strength $S_u$. Depending on the mode of failure, soil anisotropy, strain rate, and stress history, a theoretical relationship between $S_u$ and corrected cone resistance $q_c$ can be used (see summary by Lunne et al. [1997]): $S_u = (q_c - \sigma_{vo})/N_k$, where $N_k$ is an empirical cone factor and $\sigma_{vo}$ is the in situ total vertical stress. $N_k$ averages 15 for normally consolidated clays and 17 for overconsolidated clay [Lunne et al., 1997]. Assuming that the insertion pore pressure is dominated by a pressure pulse associated with the displacement and/or compaction of the sediment, Esrig et al. [1977] suggest an empirical relationship for soft saturated sediments between the maximum pore pressure during insertion $U_{\text{max}}$ and $S_u$: $S_u = U_{\text{max}}/6$. Regarding the relationship between $S_u$ and $U_{\text{max}}$, we use the maximum pore pressure within the 10 minutes window ignoring the artificial excursion of the pressure signal during impact. This procedure is based on the assumption that at the end of each testing period, pore pressure values are closest to ambient background values. Laboratory measurements were carried out on two sediment cores adjacent to the site where the in situ tests were performed (Figure 1). Sediment bulk density was measured using a multi-sensor core logger (GEOTEK) at ETH Zurich. Grain size analyses were performed using laser diffraction techniques at distances along the split core. Clasts >2 mm in the glacial sediments were extracted and measured prior to analysis. Every 15 cm $S_u$ was measured on the same split core using a standard laboratory vane shear device. In addition, ring shear and oedometer tests were carried out on the two distinct lithologies, i.e. above (3.5 m core depth) and beneath (6.3 m core depth) the sliding surface. Ring shear tests were conducted using a custom-built Bromhead ring-shear apparatus at RCOM Bremen. Progressive loading increments up to normal stresses of between 100 and 400 kPa were performed corresponding to the in situ normal stress of the tested specimens. After consolidation, the samples were sheared under drained conditions at a rate of 0.001 mm/s until peak strength was reached. On the residual path of each experiment, rate-dependency and frictional behavior of drained shear strength were tested at different rates (0.0005, 0.01 and 0.1 mm/s). The uniaxial compression behavior of the sediments was studied using an oedometer after Terzaghi [1925] with progressive loading increments from 5 kPa to 4 MPa effective stress. Experiments on the glacial and postglacial sediments served to evaluate the consolidation behavior, expressed by the overconsolidation ratio OCR = $\sigma_{o}'/\sigma_{vo}'$ with $\sigma_{o}'$ known as the pre-consolidation stress sensu [Casagrande, 1936] and $\sigma_{vo}'$ as the overburden stress.

4. Results

The combination of in situ and laboratory measurements provide a consistent characterization of the sediment properties immediately upslope of the Weggis Slide scar, and allow us to define two lithological units on the basis of geotechnical data (glacial vs. postglacial). Both types of sediments are dominated by silt and clay (40% and 55%, respectively) with max. 20% sand in the glacial unit (Figure 2a). In the postglacial section, CPT data show a continuous increase of $q_c$ with depth reaching 150 kPa, whereas $f_s$ vary between 0.5 and 2 kPa (Figure 2b). Below 3.8 m both parameters rise abruptly to 318 and 5.2 kPa, respectively, before $q_c$ decreases within the glacial unit to a value of 240 kPa at the bottom of the depth profile (Figure 2b). Pore pressure follows the same trend as $q_c$ and $f_s$ with higher excess pore pressures in the lower (glacial) unit (Figure 2c). In a typical measurement, a spike in pore pressure in unit 1 is induced upon insertion, followed by a non-linear asymptotic decrease towards background values. Here, pore pressure dissipates to about 70–80% of the insertion value after 10 minutes. In contrast, the underlying glacial unit shows negative pressures upon insertion, which are then followed by an increase in pore pressure during the 10 minutes recording. We interpret this increase to indicate ambient overpressures, which is supported by high values up to 80 kPa above $P_{\text{dry}}$ after 10 minutes. When undrained shear strength based on CPT data is compared to in situ and laboratory vane shear tests, a similar trend is recognized (Figure 3). Depending on the method, $S_u$ in postglacial deposits ranges between 0.5–4.5 kPa (Figure 3a), 3–6.5 kPa (Figure 3b), and 1.5–6.5 kPa (Figure 3c). In the glacial unit, $S_u$ increases up to 16 kPa.
in situ $S_u$ derived from $q_c$, agrees well with the lab vane shear (Figures 3b and 3c), whereas in situ measurements using the vane shear probe are significantly lower (<8 kPa in the glacial clay; Figure 3a). The discrepancy between in situ vane shear and CPT data may be partly explained by a general underestimation of the first caused by the pre-disturbance of the sediment by the insertion of the device before shearing and, moreover, by uncertainties when choosing the empirical factor $N_k$ for calculating $S_u$ from CPT data [Karakouzian et al., 2003]. However, an overall increase in strength in the lower unit can be observed regardless of the method. Ring shear tests serve to measure the mineralogically controlled frictional behavior at quasi-infinite strain. Our results show a similar mechanical behavior for glacial and postglacial sediments.

Peak shear strength ranges between 41 and 143 kPa at 100 and 400 kPa normal stress, leading to friction coefficients of approximately 0.36–0.4 for either lithology, which is typical for silty clay [Lupini et al., 1981; Logan and Rauenzahn, 1987; Brown et al., 2003]. Also, both the glacial and postglacial sediments show velocity strengthening behavior when tested at various shear rates. The overall similarity of the ring shear tests suggests that both sediment types are dominated by clay minerals resulting in similar mechanical behavior (Figure 2a). Oedometer tests on samples from above and beneath the sliding surface reveal that the postglacial sediment is very compressible, slightly underconsolidated (OCR = 0.86), and has a pre-consolidation stress of 19 kPa (see Figure S1 of the auxiliary material). Glacial sediments, however, are characterized by a lower compressibility with high pre-consolidation stresses of 100 kPa and overconsolidation (OCR = 1.58), the latter resulting from glacial compaction [see Strasser et al., 2007].

**5. Discussion**

It has long been known that fault initiation, propagation and slip are a function of fault zone mineralogy and transient pore pressure [Hubbert and Rubey, 1959]. Separating the effect of intrinsic sediment friction from that of pore pressure is one of the major targets in marine soil mechanics. Attempts to achieve this goal usually rely on modelling or estimating the excess pore pressure from geophysical data or water release due to mineral dehydration and gas hydrate processes [Moore and Shipboard Party ODP Leg 156, 1995; Brown et al., 2001; Saffer et al., 2000]. However, these studies do not include the in situ measurement of pore pressure and are hence hampered by uncertainties. In our study of Lake Lucerne slope deposits, we are
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**Figure 2.** Physical and sedimentary properties along the Weggis slope, measured on a core and in situ. (a) Grain size distribution, (b) in situ CPT cone resistance and sleeve friction, and (c) in situ pore pressure measured during CPT insertion and after 10 minutes.

**Figure 3.** Undrained shear strength based on (a) in situ vane shear probe, (b) in situ CPT, and (c) laboratory vane shear probe.
able to separate the two factors by a suite of in situ and laboratory measurements. On a regional level, our results show a reliable quantitative geotechnical characterization of the undisturbed glacial-to-postglacial succession in the source area of the Weggis Slide, which failed along a planar sliding surface that developed at the lithological boundary between slightly underconsolidated postglacial deposits and overconsolidated glacial deposits. Measured in situ pore pressure can be related to the different states of consolidation (see Figures 1, 2c, and S1), where negative insertion pore pressure in the overconsolidated unit may be generated by dilatant shear behavior with a displacement of fluids during insertion and a following slow increase of pore pressure controlled by the low permeability. We can exclude, that measured negative response is due to suction by pulling back the tool, because the acceleration sensor did not show any movement after insertion. Undrained shear strength, measured and derived with several methods, accentuates the difference in strength and consolidation state between the two lithologies. In contrast, laboratory ring shear frictional properties reveal no significant difference in the mechanical behavior of glacial and postglacial sediments. We conclude that pore pressure (and related lowering of effective stress) rather than the presence of weak mineral phases plays the key role in failure initiation along the Weggis slope. On a broader scale, our results may have important repercussions for triggering of failure processes along marine slopes and continental margins at lowered effective stresses. We condense our result to a model of earthquake-triggered failure initiation along the lithological boundary between two sediment layers with similar intrinsic mechanical behavior, but different consolidation and pore pressure regimes. The underlying overconsolidated sediments have lower permeability and higher shear strength, while their overburden drape is characterized by slightly more permeable, less competent sediments (Figure 4). At constant stress, such a slope is stable (Figure 4a). In case of an earthquake, however, seismic pulses from the poroelastic response to the earthquake-induced strain generate hydrological transients and - possibly - hydrofractures [Cocco and Rice, 2002]. A stress pulse may disrupt the overconsolidated glacial clay, thereby transferring excess pore fluid pressures up to less stable Holocene deposits (Figure 4b). This model may be transferred from the micro-scale lacustrine realm to the macro-scale landslide prone active and passive margins. Long-term records of pore pressure along the Nankai Trough [Davis et al., 2006] and Costa Rica subduction zones [Brown et al., 2005] and of water-level oscillations on-shore Oregon [Brodsky et al., 2003] have documented the interaction between seismicity and pore pressure as well as its transflux over tens of kilometres. At the frontal thrust of the Nankai accretionary prism, Davis et al. [2006] have measured up to >100 kPa increase in pore pressure to low-frequency EQs (M3.5–4.4) some 10 s of km away. Given that the 1601 A.D. M 6.2 EQ epicenter is only 15 km from the Weggis site, even a smaller pore pressure pulse may likely have caused values in excess of lithostatic (see arrows in Figure 4c). Hydrofracturing may have been facilitated by the historically documented 4 m-high tsunami waves after the 1601 EQ, which caused cyclic normal stress drops and eventually failure. This mechanism seems similar to large-scale landslides in seismic and/or tectonically active regions, where transient pore pressure pulses as main triggers have been proposed. One of the largest landslides is the Storegga Slide on the Mid-Norwegian shelf (3000 km²). Considering the pre-failure condition for slope instability (rapid loading of clayey sediments, over-pressurizing during glaciatic cycles and possible dissociation of gas hydrates) initial failure has been linked to a M > ~7 EQ [Brynn et al., 2005]. Other large mass movements at passive margins are often related to rapid sediment accumulation and overpressures (New Jersey margin; Dugan and Flemings [2000]). Slope failure along convergent margins may be associated with tectonic steepening and fluid venting during subduction processes (e.g., slumps and landslides along the Middle American Trench; von Huene et al. [2004]). At volcanic margins or islands, both seismicity in the magma chamber and hydrothermal circulation adjacent to it influence the pore pressure regime, making these factors responsible for mega-landslides such as those in La Palma, Canary Island (ca. 5000 km²; Masson et al. [2002]) or the Nuuanna Landslide, Hawaiian Islands (ca. 5000 km²; Moore et al. [1994]).

6. Conclusions

[7] As a general conclusion, we emphasize that despite variability in regional geological processes and regardless of
the scale of the mass wasting event, slope instability is often triggered by variations in pore pressure resulting from underlying processes such as sedimentary, glacial, tidal, storm-wave and tectonic loading, gas hydrate processes, or EQ tremor [Hampton et al., 1996; Locat, 2001]. The reliable in situ measurement of pore pressure, ideally over longer periods of time, will be the most promising step towards a more complete understanding of landslide initiation, risk assessment and mitigation.
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